

**REMARKS**

The applicant notes with appreciation the acknowledgement of the claim for priority under section 119 and the notice that all of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received.

The applicant acknowledges and appreciates receiving an initialed copy of the form PTO-1449 that was filed on January 27, 2004.

Claims 1, 3 – 7, and 9 – 26 are pending. Claims 2 and 8 have been canceled. Claims 13 – 26 are allowed.

The applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of this application in view of the above amendments and the following remarks.

On page 7 of the office action, dependent claims 2 – 6 and 8 – 12 were objected to, but indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. Claims 1 and 7 have been amended to incorporate allowable claims 2 and 8, respectively. Claims 2 and 8 were canceled, claims dependent from claim 2 or 8 have been amended to depend from allowable claim 1 or 7, respectively. In view of the above, the applicant submits that the claims are patentable.

The applicant has amended the claims since the office action indicated that claims 2 – 6 and 8 – 12 would be allowable if so re-written. However, the applicant does not concede that other features in the claims are found in the prior art.

The applicant wishes to clarify for the record, if necessary, that the claims have been amended to expedite prosecution. Moreover, the applicant reserves the right to pursue the original subject matter in a continuation application.

Any narrowing amendment to the claims in the present Amendment is not to be construed as a surrender of any subject matter between the original claims and the present claims; rather this is merely an attempt at providing one or more definitions of what the applicant believes to be suitable patent protection. In addition, the present claims provide the intended scope of protection that the applicant is seeking for this application. Therefore, no estoppel should be presumed, and the applicant's claims are intended to include a scope of protection under the Doctrine of Equivalents.


For all the reasons advanced above, the applicant respectfully submits that the claims as amended are allowable.

Claim 1 was rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,601,772, Rubin et al. ("Rubin"). Claim 7 was rejected under 35 USC 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent Publication No. 2005/0001033, Cheong ("Cheong"). The applicant respectfully submits that these rejections are moot, because claims 1 and 7 have been amended to incorporate allowable claims 2 and 8, respectively. Withdrawal of the rejections is respectfully requested.

In view of the foregoing, the applicant respectfully submits that this application is in condition for allowance. A timely notice to that effect is respectfully requested. If questions relating to patentability remain, the examiner is invited to contact the undersigned by telephone.

Please charge any unforeseen fees that may be due to Deposit Account No. 50-1147.

Respectfully submitted,



Cynthia K. Nicholson

Reg. No. 36,880

Posz Law Group, PLC  
12040 South Lakes Drive, Suite 101  
Reston, VA 20191  
Phone 703-707-9110  
Fax 703-707-9112  
Customer No. 23400